```
LAURA E. DUFFY
1
   United States Attorney
   WILLIAM P. COLE
   CAROLINE P. HAN
   Assistant U.S. Attorneys
   California State Bar Nos. 186772/250301
  Federal Office Building
4
   880 Front Street, Room 6293
5
   San Diego, California 92101-8893
   Telephone: (619) 557-7859/557-5220
  William.P.Cole@usdoj.gov
6
   Caroline.Han@usdoj.gov
7
   Attorneys for Respondent
8
   United States of America
9
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                  ) Case No. 10CR4246-JM
11
                Plaintiff,
                                    RESPONSE AND OPPOSITION
                                    TO DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS
12
                                    FOR DISCOVERY AND LEAVE
       v.
                                    TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS
13
   BASAALY SAEED MOALIN (1),
14
   ISSA DOREH (3),
                Defendants.
15
                                  )Date: December 3, 2010
                                  )Time: 11:00 am
                                  )Hon. Jeffrey T. Miller
16
17
       COMES NOW the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by and through
18
   its counsel, Laura E. Duffy, United States Attorney, William
19
   P. Cole and Caroline P. Han, Assistant United States
20
   Attorneys, and respectfully submits the following Response
21
   and Opposition to Defendants' Motions for Discovery and for
22
   Leave to File Further Motions.
23
24
25
```

26

27

28

I

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. The Indictment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On October 22, 2010, a federal grand jury returned an Indictment charging defendants Basaaly Saeed Moalin ("Moalin"), Mohamed Mohamed Mohamud ("Mohamud"), and Issa Doreh ("Doreh") with one count of conspiracy to provide material support to terrorists, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339A, one count of conspiracy to provide material support to a foreign terrorist organization, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339B, one count of conspiracy to kill in a foreign country, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §956, and one count of conspiracy to launder monetary instruments, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956. In addition, defendant Moalin was also charged with one count of providing material support to terrorists, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339A.

B. Factual Summary

Moalin, Mohamud and Doreh conspired to provide money to al-Shabaab, a violent and brutal militia group in Somalia. The U.S. Department of State designated al-Shabaab as a foreign terrorist organization on February 26, 2008. Al-Shabaab has used assassinations, improvised explosive devices, rockets, mortars, automatic weapons, suicide bombings and other tactics of intimidation and violence to undermine Somalia's Transitional Federal Government and its supporters.

In late 2007 through early 2008, Moalin was in direct telephone contact with Aden Hashi Ayrow, a prominent

2

10CR4246

military leader of al-Shabaab. Ayrow requested money from Moalin, who then coordinated fund-raising efforts and money transfers with Mohamud and Doreh.

The money that was raised was sent to Somalia using Shidaal Express, a money remitting business and hawala which operated in San Diego until 2009. Hawalas are alternative money remittance systems that consist of a network of money brokers who transfer funds on behalf of customers to recipients in other countries. While hawalas made be licensed to operate in the United States and may use United States financial accounts to make monetary transfers and remittances overseas, they also operate with a reduced and less formal paper trail and among trusted connections. When the defendants sent money to al-Shabaab or other insurgent groups, they often provided false names for the senders and recipients.

Moalin also provided a house in Somalia, knowing the house would be used in preparation for, and to carry out, a conspiracy to kill persons in a foreign country. After Ayrow's death on May 1, 2008, the conspirators continued to transfer money from San Diego to Somalia to fund terrorist activities.

DISCOVERY MOTION

II

The United States has produced approximately 56 pages, 3 CDs, 3 DVDs to defendant Moalin; approximately 42 pages, 2 CDs, and 2 DVDs to defendant Mohamud; and approximately 42 pages, 2 CDs, and 1 DVD to defendant Doreh. The United

10CR4246

1 2 3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13 14

15

16 17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

States expects to produce approximately 1200 telephone calls and transcripts of over 100 telephone calls in the coming weeks.

Α. The United States Will Disclose Information Subject to Disclosure under Rule 16(a)(1)(A) and (B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

The United States will provide each defendant with his own statements, as provided by Rule 16(a)(1)(A) and (B).

The United States Will Comply with Rule 16(a)(1)(E)В.

permit defendants Moalin, The United States will Mohamud, and Doreh to inspect and copy or photograph all books, papers, documents, photographs, tangible objects, buildings or places, or portions thereof, which are within the possession, custody, or control of the United States, and which are material to the preparation of the defendants' defenses or are intended for use by the United States as evidence-in-chief at trial or were obtained from or belong to the defendants. In fact, the United States has already informed the defendants that certain items are available for their inspection upon request. In addition, the United States has already permitted defendant Moalin to inspect items recovered from his home pursuant to a search warrant.

Defendants Moalin and Doreh have also moved for the United States to provide them with documents and other tangible objects which are intended for use by the United States in rebuttal. Rule 16 does not require the disclosure by the prosecution of evidence it intends to use in

4

rebuttal. <u>United States v. Givens</u>, 767 F.2d 574 (9th Cir. 1984), <u>cert denied</u>, 474 U.S. 953 (1985).

C. Brady and Henthorn Material

Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) and United States v. Agurs, 427 U.S. 97 (1976), to disclose exculpatory evidence within its possession that is material to the issue of guilt or punishment, as well as its duty under Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), to provide information on any benefits provided to the United States' witnesses in exchange for their testimony. Additionally, the United States will examine the personnel records of any government personnel who will testify at trial and provide such material as the defense may be entitled to receive under United States v. Henthorn, 931 F.2d 29 (9th Cir. 1991).

D. <u>Witness Lists</u>, <u>Names</u>, and <u>Addresses</u>

There is no requirement in a non-capital case for the United States to supply the defendant with a list of witnesses it expects to call at trial. The United States objects to providing any such list at this time, and also objects to any request for the names and addresses of witnesses that the United States may or may not call at trial. Criminal convictions of witnesses, known to the United States, will be disclosed prior to the witnesses' testimony.

27 //

//

5 10CR4246

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

E. The Defendants Are Not Entitled to the Disclosure of Witness Statements Prior to the Witness Testifying on Direct Examination at Trial

Production of witness statements in governed by the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500, and need occur only upon timely motion after the witness testifies on direct examination. See United States v. Taylor, 802 F.2d 1108, 1118 (9th Cir. 1986); United States v. Mills, 641 F.2d 785, 790 (9th Cir. 1981). Even material believed to be exculpatory and therefore subject to disclosure under the Brady doctrine, if contained in a witness statement subject to the Jencks Act, need not be revealed until such time as the witness statement is disclosed under the Act. See <u>United States v. Bernard</u>, 623 F.2d 551, 556 (9th Cir. 1979).

F. Giglio Information and Agreements

<u>Between the Government and Witnesses</u>

An agreement that the Government makes with a witness for testimony in exchange for money or in exchange for favorable treatment in the criminal justice system is generally subject to disclosure as impeachment evidence under Brady and Giglio. See United States v. Kojayan, 8 F.3d 1315, 1322-23 (9th Cir. 1993); Benn v. Lambert, 238 F.3d 1040, 1054-60 (9th Cir. 2002). The United States will comply with its Giglio obligation.

G. <u>Motion Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(4)(B)</u>

Defendants Moalin and Doreh have sought "notice of the government's intention to use any evidence (in its case-in-chief at the trial) which defendants may be entitled to suppress." The United States hereby notifies defendants

6

10CR4246

Moalin, Mohamud, and Doreh that the United States intends to use in its case-in-chief at trial all evidence which the defendants are entitled to receive in discovery under Rule 16, subject to any relevant limitations.

H. 404(b) Evidence

The Government will disclose in advance of trial the general nature of any "other bad acts" evidence that the United States intends to introduce at trial pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 404(b). Evidence should not be treated as "other bad acts" evidence under Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) when the evidence concerning the other bad acts and the evidence concerning the crime charged are "inextricably intertwined." See United States v. Soliman, 812 F.2d 277, 279 (9th Cir. 1987).

I. <u>Defendants' General Discovery Requests</u>

To the extent that the defendants' general discovery requests exceed the scope of Rule 16 and <u>Brady</u>, the United States objects to the requests.

III

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS

The United States does not oppose defendants Moalin and Doreh filing further motions if they are based on new discovery or other information not available to the defendants at the time of motion hearing on this motion.

26 // 27 //

7 10CR4246

IV CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, the United States requests that the Court deny the defendants' motions, except where unopposed. November 24, 2010. Dated: Respectfully submitted, LAURA E. DUFFY United States Attorney s/Caroline P. Han CAROLINE P. HAN WILLIAM P. COLE Assistant U.S. Attorneys Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America

8 10CR4246

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 10CR4246-JM
4	Plaintiff,
5	V.) GERMIEIGAME OF CERVICE
6) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BASAALY SAEED MOALIN (1),) ISSA DOREH (3),
7 8	Defendants.)
9	IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT:
10	I, CAROLINE P. HAN, am a citizen of the United States and am at least eighteen years of age. My business
11 12	address is 880 Front Street, Room 6293, San Diego, California 92101-8893.
13	I am not a party to the above-entitled action. I have caused service of Response and Opposition to
14	Defendants' Motions for Discovery and for Leave to File Further Motions on the following parties by electronically filing the foregoing with the Clerk of the
15	District Court using its ECF System, which electronically notifies them.
16 17	 Marc Geller Attorney for defendant Moalin Kenneth Troiano
18	Attorney for defendant Doreh
19 20	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
21	Executed on November 24, 2010.
22	<u>s/Caroline P. Han</u> CAROLINE P. HAN
23	CAROLINE P. HAN
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	